



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/783,779	. 02/14/2001	Srinivas Chennupaty	42390P10924	3051	
8791 7	12/19/2003		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			KIM, KENNETH S		
	ES, CA 90025	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2111	4	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			å.		PRG		
,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/783,77	79	CHENNUPATY E	CHENNUPATY ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Kenneth S		2181			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stat ory period will apply and w l, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a rep utory minimum of thirty ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time 1S from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>14 February 20</u>	<u>01</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is no	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
-	ion Papers	on and/or election is	squirement.	PRIMARY EXAMINER			
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to b	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is requir	oe held in abeyanc ed if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included in the foreign language.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for eference was included in the first senter of the foreign language.	ocuments have been becuments have been the priority document all Bureau (PCT Rulfor a list of the certical domestic priority upon the first sentence domestic priority upon domestic priority upon the first sentence domestic priority upon the	en received. en received in Appents have been re e 17.2(a)). fied copies not re nder 35 U.S.C. § e of the specificat eplication has been nder 35 U.S.C. §	plication No eceived in this National eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional ion or in an Application en received. § 120 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			mmary (PTO-413) Paper Normal Patent Application (PTo			

Art Unit: 2181

- 1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sidwell, U.S. Patent No. 5,822,619.

<u>Sidwell</u> teaches the invention as claimed in claims 26 and 27 including a processor implemented method comprising:

- (a) decoding a single instruction (col. 9, line 51) specifying a source operand of 128 bits (col. 8, line 43), a destination operand of 128 bits, and a control word of eight bits (can be any number of bits in the instruction),
- (b) responsive to the single instruction and the control word, shuffling 16-bit data element from the source operand to the destination operand (fig. 9; col. 8, line 1), wherein the source operand and the destination operand are the same operand (well known).

Art Unit: 2181

4. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huff et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,288,723 (and Abdallah et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,115,812, cited by the applicant and contains a similar specification).

The applied reference has a common assignee and inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Huff et al teaches the invention as claimed in claims 26 and 27 including a processor implemented method comprising:

- (a) decoding a single instruction (col. 6, line 44) specifying a source operand of 128 bits (col. 5, line 11), a destination operand of 128 bits, and a control word of eight bits (see Roussel et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,041,404, submitted by the applicant at col. 5, line 53), (b) responsive to the single instruction and the control word, shuffling 16-bit data element from the source operand to the destination operand (fig. 3E), wherein the source operand and the destination operand are the same operand (well known).
- 5. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen, U.S. Patent No. 5,819,117.

<u>Hansen</u> teaches the invention as claimed in claims 17-19 including a method for packed data elements comprising:

(a) decoding a single instruction specifying, a source operand, a destination operand, and a field of control bits (col. 3, line 56),

Art Unit: 2181

(b) responsive to the single instruction and the field of control bits, generating a first portion of the destination operand comprised of the data elements from the same portion of the source operand (fig. 3B),

(c) where the portion is either the upper half or the lower half (bytes zero to three) of the source and destination operands, which can be the same operand.

The processor claims 20 and 21 are equivalently rejected based on the same reason.

6. Claims 1-16 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen, U.S. Patent No. 5,819,117.

<u>Hansen</u> teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1-5 including a computer system (figs. 3B and 10C) comprising:

- (a) a processor ((50),
- (b) a storage device coupled to the processor (40) and having stored therein an instruction, when executed by the processor, causes the processor to at least,
- (c) access a packed data operand (71) having at least two portion of data elements (bytes 0-3 and 4-7),
- (d) select a set of data elements from a portion of the packed data operand, the portion including at least two data elements (b(0) to b(3)),
- (e) copy each data element of the selected set of data elements to specified data fields located in the corresponding portion of the destination operand (74),

**Art Unit: 2181** 

(f) wherein the packed data operand includes eight data elements (b(0) to b(7)) and the processor selects a set of data elements from either the upper half or the lower half (b(0) to b(3)),

(g) wherein a packing device packs integer data to the data elements of 16-bits to 128 bit operand and the same destination operand (all well known).

The method claims 6-10, the image data processing method claims 11-16 (with well known three dimensional transformation data processing), and the program product claims 23-25 are equivalently rejected based on the same reason.

7. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abdallah, U.S. Patent No. 6,192,467.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

<u>Abdallah</u> teaches the invention as claimed in claim 28-30 including a processor comprising :

- (a) a decoder to decode a first instruction specifying a firs source operand of 128 bits, a first destination operand of 128 bits, and a first control word of eight bits (col. 6, lines 60-67), a second instruction, and a third instruction,
- (b) an execution unit responsive the first instruction (col. 6, line 59) to shuffle 16-bit data elements from the source operand to the destination operand (shuffle operation well known; see Sidwell), response to the second instruction (col. 7, lines 10-15) to shuffle data elements from the upper half of a second source operand to the upper half of a

Art Unit: 2181

second destination operand, and responsive to the third instruction (col. 8, line 65 – col.

- 9, line 1) to shuffle data elements from the lower half of a third source operand to the lower half of a third destination operand,
- (c) wherein the source and destination operand are the same operand or the processor is comprised of hardware and software components (well known).

The system claims 1-5, the method claims 6-10, the image processing method claims 11-16, the method claims 17-19, the processor claims 20-22, the program product claims 23-25, and the method claims 26 and 27 are equivalently rejected based on the same reason.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>Dulong</u> taught a method of selective writing of packed data elements.

Turkowski taught a method of reordering bytes in data stream.

Nakatani taught a method of rearranging vector data elements.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (703) 305-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2181

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

December 12, 2003

KENNÉTH S. KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER